Climate4you update February 2015



Contents:

- Page 2: February 2015 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 3: Comments to the February 2015 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 4: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites
- Page 5: Global surface air temperature

1

- Page 8: Global air temperature linear trends
- Page 9: Global temperatures: All in one
- Page 10: Global sea surface temperature
- Page 13: Ocean heat content uppermost 100 and 700 m
- Page 16: North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m
- Page 17: North Atlantic sea temperatures along 59N
- Page 18: North Atlantic sea temperatures 30-0W at 59N
- Page 19: Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 20: Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 21: Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 22: Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperatures
- Page 25: Arctic and Antarctic sea ice
- Page 29: Global sea level
- Page 30: Northern Hemisphere weekly snow cover
- Page 32: Atmospheric specific humidity
- Page 33: Atmospheric CO₂
- Page 34: The phase relation between atmospheric CO₂ and global temperature
- Page 35: Global surface air temperature and atmospheric CO₂
- Page 36: Last 20 year monthly surface air temperature change
- Page 39: Climate and history; one example among many:

1949: Recent climatic fluctuations by Leo Lysgaard

All diagrams in this newsletter as well as links to the original data are available on www.climate4you.com

February 2015 global surface air temperature overview







January 2015 surface air temperature compared to the average of the last 10 years. Green-yellow-red colours indicate areas with higher temperature than the 10 yr average, while blue colours indicate lower than average temperatures. Data source: <u>Goddard Institute for</u> <u>Space Studies</u> (GISS).

<u>General</u>: This newsletter contains graphs showing a selection of key meteorological variables for the past month. <u>All temperatures are given in degrees</u> <u>Celsius</u>.

In the above maps showing the geographical pattern of surface air temperatures, <u>the last</u> <u>previous 10 years (2005-2014) are used as</u> <u>reference period</u>.

The reason for comparing with this recent period instead of the official WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990, is that the latter period is profoundly affected by the cold period 1945-1980. Most comparisons with this time period will obviously appear as warm, and it will be difficult to decide if modern surface air temperatures are increasing or decreasing? Comparing with a recent period overcomes this problem and displays the modern dynamics of ongoing change.

In addition, the GISS temperature data used for preparing the above diagrams displays pronounced temporal instability for data before the turn of the century (see p. 7). Any comparison with <u>the WMO</u> <u>'normal' period 1961-1990</u> is therefore influenced by ongoing monthly changes of the so-called 'normal' period, and is <u>not suited as reference</u>.

In other diagrams in this newsletter <u>the thin line</u> <u>represents the monthly global average value</u>, and <u>the thick line indicate a simple running average</u>, in most cases a simple moving 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a three-year average. The 37-month average is calculated from values covering a range from 18 month before to 18 months after, with equal weight for every month. <u>The year 1979 has been chosen as starting point in</u> <u>many diagrams</u>, as this roughly corresponds to both the beginning of satellite observations and the onset of the late 20th century warming period. However, several of the data series have a much longer record length, which may be inspected in greater detail on <u>www.Climate4you.com</u>.

February 2015 global surface air temperatures

<u>General</u>: The average global air temperature was close to the average for the last ten years.

<u>The Northern Hemisphere</u> was characterised by marked regional air temperature contrasts, as usual. Eastern North America and Greenland had temperatures below the average for the last 10 years, as had western Europe. Northeast Europe, Russia, Siberia, Alaska and western North America had above average temperatures. The Arctic was divided between below average temperatures in the American and North Atlantic sector, while the Pacific sector had above average temperatures. Temperatures depicted across the Arctic Ocean are, however, very sensitive to the GISS interpolation technique, and the pattern displayed in the map on page 1 should not be over interpreted.

<u>Near the Equator</u> temperatures conditions were generally near or somewhat below the 1998-2006 average, but with parts of the western Pacific being relatively warm.

<u>The Southern Hemisphere</u> temperatures were mainly near or below average 1998-2006 conditions. Australia had above average temperatures. The Antarctic continent was divided into above and below average temperatures.

Lower troposphere temperature from satellites, updated to February 2015



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to <u>Remote Sensing Systems</u> (RSS), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

Global surface air temperature, updated to February 2015



Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's <u>Climatic Research Unit</u> (<u>CRU</u>), UK. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Version HadCRUT4 (blue) is now replacing HadCRUT3 (red). Please note that this diagram is not yet updated beyond January 2015.



Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the <u>Goddard Institute for Space Studies</u> (GISS), at Columbia University, New York City, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.



Global monthly average surface air temperature since 1979 according to according to the <u>National Climatic Data Center</u> (NCDC), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

A note on data record stability:

All the above temperature estimates display changes when one compare with previous monthly data sets, not only for the most recent months as a result of supplementary data being added, but actually for all months back to the very beginning of the records, more than 100 years ago. Presumably this reflects recognition of errors, changes in the averaging procedure, and the influence of other unknown phenomena. None of the temperature records are entirely stable over time (since 2008). The two surface air temperature records, NCDC and GISS, show apparent systematic changes over time. This is exemplified the diagram on the following page showing the changes since May 2008 in the NCDC global surface temperature record for January 1915 and January 2000, illustrating how the difference between the early and late part of the temperature records gradually is growing by such administrative adjustments.

You can find more on the issue of lack of temporal stability on <u>www.climate4you</u> (go to: *Global Temperature*, followed by *Temporal Stability*).



Diagram showing the adjustment made since May 2008 by the <u>Goddard Institute for Space Studies</u> (GISS) in anomaly values for the months January 1910 and January 2000.

<u>Note</u>: The administrative upsurge of the temperature increase between January 1915 and January 2000 has grown from 0.45 (May 2008) to 0.68°C (March 2015), representing an about **46%** administrative temperature increase over this period, meaning that about half of the apparent temperature increase from January 1915 to January 2000 is due to administrative manipulations of the original data.

7



Global air temperature linear trends updated to January 2015

Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20 and 30 yr linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for two satellite-based temperature estimates (UAH MSU and RSS MSU). Last month included in analysis: January 2015.



Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 70 and 100 year linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for three surface-based temperature estimates (GISS, NCDC and HadCRUT4). Last month included in all analyses: January 2015.



1979 1981 1983 1985 1987 1989 1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2015

Superimposed plot of all five global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all five temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-1988 averages.

It should be kept in mind that satellite- and surfacebased temperature estimates are derived from different types of measurements, and that comparing them directly as done in the diagram above therefore may be somewhat problematical. However, as both types of estimate often are discussed together, the above diagram may nevertheless be of some interest. In fact, the different types of temperature estimates appear to agree quite well as to the overall temperature variations on a 2-3 year scale, although on a shorter time scale there are often considerable differences between the individual records. All five global temperature estimates presently show an overall stagnation, at least since 2002. There has been no increase in global air temperature since 1998, which however was affected by the oceanographic El Niño event. This stagnation does not exclude the possibility that global temperatures will begin to increase again later. On the other hand, it also remain a possibility that Earth just now is passing a temperature peak, and that global temperatures will begin to decrease during the coming years. Time will show which of these two possibilities is correct.

Global sea surface temperature, updated to February 2015



NOAA/NWS/NCEP/EMC Marine Modeling and Analysis Branch RTG_SST Anomaly (0.5 deg X 0.5 deg) for 26 Feb 2015

Sea surface temperature anomaly on 26 February 2015. Map source: National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NOAA).

Because of the large surface areas near Equator, the temperature of the surface water in these regions is especially important for the global atmospheric temperature (p.4-6).

Relatively warm water is dominating the oceans near the Equator, and is influencing global air temperatures now and in the months to come.

The significance of any such short-term cooling or warming reflected in air temperatures should not be over stated. Whenever Earth experiences cold La Niña or warm El Niño episodes (Pacific Ocean) major heat exchanges takes place between the Pacific Ocean and the atmosphere above, eventually showing up in estimates of the global air temperature.

However, this does not reflect similar changes in the total heat content of the atmosphere-ocean system. In fact, global net changes can be small and such heat exchanges may mainly reflect redistribution of energy between ocean and atmosphere. What matters is the overall temperature development when seen over a number of years.

10



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature over oceans (thin line) since 1979 according to <u>University of Alabama</u> at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to University of East Anglia's <u>Climatic Research Unit</u> (<u>CRU</u>), UK. Base period: 1961-1990. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to the <u>National Climatic Data Center</u> (NCDC), USA. Base period: 1901-2000. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

Ocean heat content uppermost 100 and 700 m, updated to December 2014



13



World Oceans vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: <u>NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center</u> (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.



Pacific Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.



Atlantic Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: <u>NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center</u> (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.



Indian Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: <u>NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center</u> (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.

North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m, updated to December 2014





Global monthly heat content anomaly (GJ/m2) in the uppermost 700 m of the North Atlantic (60-0W, 30-65N; see map above) *ocean since January 1955. The thin line indicates monthly values, and the thick line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: <u>National Oceanographic Data Center</u> (NODC).*

North Atlantic sea temperatures along 59N, updated to December 2014



Depth-temperature diagram along 59 N across the North Atlantic, extending from northern Labrador in the west to northern Scotland in the east, using <u>Argo</u>-data. The uppermost panel shows the absolute temperature, and the lower diagram shows the temperature anomaly, using the monthly average temperature 2004-2013 as reference. Source: <u>Global Marine Argo Atlas</u>.

17

North Atlantic sea temperatures 30-0W at 59N, updated to December 2014



Average temperature along 59 N, 30-0W, 0-800m depth, corresponding to the main part of the North Atlantic Current, using <u>Argo</u>-data. Source: <u>Global Marine Argo Atlas</u>. Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. <u>Progress in Oceanography</u>, 82, 81-100.



Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites, updated to February 2015

Global monthly average temperature in different altitudes according to <u>Remote Sensing Systems</u> (RSS). The thin lines represent the monthly average, and the thick line the simple running 37 month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average.

19

Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites, updated to February 2015



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the tropics and the northern and southern extratropics, according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. Thin lines show the monthly temperature. Thick lines represent the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average. Reference period 1981-2010.

20

Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperature, updated to February 2015



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the North Pole and South Pole regions, based on satellite observations (<u>University of Alabama</u> at Huntsville, USA). Thin lines show the monthly temperature. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average. Reference period 1981-2010.

Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperature, updated to January 2015



Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 37 month (c.3 yr) average.



Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90 $^{\circ}$ N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 37 month (c.3 yr) average.

22



Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 37 month (c.3 yr) average.

Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic $(70-90^{\circ}N)$ monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 37 month (c.3 yr) average.

Diagram showing area-weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 1920, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 37 month (c.3 yr) average. Because of the relatively small number of Arctic stations before 1930, month-to-month variations in the early part of the temperature record are larger than later. The period from about 1930 saw the establishment of many new Arctic meteorological stations, first <u>in Russia and Siberia</u>, and following the 2nd World War, also in North America. The period since 2000 is warm, about as warm as the period 1930-1940.

As the HadCRUT4 data series has improved high latitude coverage data coverage (compared to the HadCRUT3 series) the individual $5^{\circ}x5^{\circ}$ grid cells has been weighted according to their surface area. This is in contrast to <u>Gillet et al. 2008</u> which calculated a simple average, with no consideration to the surface area represented by the individual $5^{\circ}x5^{\circ}$ grid cells.

Literature:

Gillett, N.P., Stone, D.A., Stott, P.A., Nozawa, T., Karpechko, A.Y.U., Hegerl, G.C., Wehner, M.F. and Jones, P.D. 2008. Attribution of polar warming to human influence. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 750-754.

Arctic and Antarctic sea ice, updated to February 2015

Sea ice extent 26 February 2015. The 'normal' or average limit of sea ice (orange line) is defined as 15% sea ice cover, according to the average of satellite observations 1981-2010 (both years inclusive). Sea ice may therefore well be encountered outside and open water areas inside the limit shown in the diagrams above. Map source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

Graphs showing monthly Antarctic, Arctic and global sea ice extent since November 1978, according to the <u>National Snow and Ice data</u> <u>Center</u> (NSIDC).

Graph showing daily Arctic sea ice extent since June 2002, to 22 February 2015, by courtesy of <u>Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency</u> (JAXA).

26

ARCc0.08-04.0 Ice Thickness (m): 20150227

Northern hemisphere sea ice extension and thickness on 27 February 2015 according to the <u>Arctic Cap Nowcast/Forecast System</u> (ACNFS), US Naval Research Laboratory. Thickness scale (m) to the right.

12 month running average sea ice extension in both hemispheres since 1979, the satellite-era. The October 1979 value represents the monthly average of November 1978 - October 1979, the November 1979 value represents the average of December 1978 - November 1979, etc. The stippled lines represent a 61-month (ca.5 years) average. Data source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

Global 12 month running average sea ice extension since 1979, the satellite-era. The October 1979 value represents the monthly average of November 1978 - October 1979, the November 1979 value represents the average of December 1978 - November 1979, etc. The stippled line represents a 61-month (ca.5 years) average. Data source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

Global sea level, updated to December 2014

Global sea level (thin line) since late 1992 according to the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research at University of Colorado at Boulder. The thick stippled line represents a two-degree polynomium. The polynomium suggests the rate of the ongoing global sea level rise to be slowly decreasing. Time is shown along the x-axis as fractions of calendar years.

Northern Hemisphere weekly snow cover, updated to February 2015

Northern hemisphere snow cover (white) and sea ice (yellow) 26 February 2014 (left) and 2015 (right). Map source: <u>National Ice Center (NIC)</u>.

Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 2000 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2014 average.

Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 1972 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2014 average.

Atmospheric specific humidity, updated to February 2015

<u>Specific atmospheric humidity</u> (g/kg) at three different altitudes in the lower part of the atmosphere (<u>the Troposphere</u>) since January 1948 (<u>Kalnay et al. 1996</u>). The thin blue lines shows monthly values, while the thick blue lines show the running 37-month average (about 3 years). Data source: <u>Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA)</u>.

Monthly amount of atmospheric CO_2 (upper diagram) and annual growth rate (lower diagram); average last 12 months minus average preceding 12 months, thin line) of atmospheric CO_2 since 1959, according to data provided by the <u>Mauna Loa Observatory</u>, Hawaii, USA. The thick, stippled line is the simple running 37-observation average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average.

The phase relation between atmospheric CO₂ and global temperature, updated to February 2015

12-month change of global atmospheric CO_2 concentration (<u>Mauna Loa</u>; green), global sea surface temperature (<u>HadSST3</u>; blue) and global surface air temperature (<u>HadCRUT4</u>; red dotted). All graphs are showing monthly values of DIFF12, the difference between the average of the last 12 month and the average for the previous 12 months for each data series.

References:

Humlum, O., Stordahl, K. and Solheim, J-E. 2012. The phase relation between atmospheric carbon dioxide and global temperature. Global and Planetary Change, August 30, 2012. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818112001658?v=s5

Global surface air temperature and atmospheric CO₂, updated to February 2015

Diagrams showing HadCRUT3, GISS, and NCDC monthly global surface air temperature estimates (blue) and the monthly atmospheric CO₂ content (red) according to the <u>Mauna Loa Observatory</u>, Hawaii. The Mauna Loa data series begins in March 1958, and 1958 was therefore chosen as starting year for the diagrams. Reconstructions of past atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (before 1958) are not incorporated in this diagram, as such past CO₂ values are derived by other means (ice cores, stomata, or older measurements using different methodology), and therefore are not directly comparable with direct atmospheric measurements. The dotted grey line indicates the approximate linear temperature trend, and the boxes in the lower part of the diagram indicate the relation between atmospheric CO₂ and global surface air temperature, negative or positive. Please note that the HadCRUT4 diagram is not yet updated beyond January 2015.

Most climate models assume the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide CO₂ to influence significantly upon global temperature. It is therefore relevant to compare different temperature records with measurements of atmospheric CO₂, as shown in the diagrams above. Any comparison, however, should not be made on a monthly or annual basis, but for а longer time period, as other effects (oceanographic, etc.) may well override the potential influence of CO₂ on short time scales such as just a few years. It is of cause equally inappropriate to present new meteorological record values, whether daily, monthly or annual, as support for the hypothesis ascribing high importance of atmospheric CO₂ for global temperatures. Any such meteorological record value may well be the result of other phenomena.

What exactly defines the critical length of a relevant time period to consider for evaluating the alleged importance of CO_2 remains elusive, and is still a topic for discussion. However, the critical period length must be inversely proportional to the temperature sensitivity of CO_2 , including feedback effects. If the net temperature effect of atmospheric CO_2 is strong, the critical time period will be short, and vice versa.

However, past climate research history provides some clues as to what has traditionally been considered the relevant length of period over which to compare temperature and atmospheric CO_2 . After about 10 years of concurrent global temperature- and CO_2 -increase, IPCC was established in 1988. For obtaining public and political support for the CO_2 -hyphotesis the 10 year warming period leading up to 1988 in all likelihood was important. Had the global temperature instead been decreasing, politic support for the hypothesis would have been difficult to obtain.

Based on the previous 10 years of concurrent temperature- and CO_2 -increase, many climate scientists in 1988 presumably felt that their

understanding of climate dynamics was sufficient to conclude about the importance of CO_2 for global temperature changes. From this it may safely be concluded that 10 years was considered a period long enough to demonstrate the effect of increasing atmospheric CO_2 on global temperatures.

Adopting this approach as to critical time length (at least 10 years), the varying relation (positive or negative) between global temperature and atmospheric CO_2 has been indicated in the lower panels of the diagrams above.

Last 20 years global monthly average surface air temperature according to Hadley CRUT, a cooperative effort between the <u>Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research</u> and the <u>University of East Anglia</u>'s <u>Climatic Research Unit</u> (CRU), UK. The thin blue line represents the monthly values. The thick red line is the linear fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin red lines. The thick green line represents a 5-degree polynomial fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin green lines. A few key statistics is given in the lower part of the diagram (note that the linear trend is the monthly trend). Please note that the linear regression is done by month, not year.

It is quite often debated if the global surface air temperature still increases, or if the temperature has levelled out during the last 15-18 years. The above diagram may be useful in this context, and demonstrates the differences between two often used statistical approaches to determine recent temperature trends. Please also note that such fits only attempt to describe the past, and usually have limited predictive power. In addition, before using any linear trend (or other) analysis of time series a proper statistical model should be chosen, based on statistical justification. For temperature time series there is no *a priori* physical reason why the long-term trend should be linear in time. In fact, climatic time series often have trends for which a straight line is not a good approximation, as can clearly be seen from several of the diagrams in the present report.

For an excellent description of problems often encountered by analyses of temperature time series analyses please see <u>Keenan, D.J. 2014</u>: <u>Statistical Analyses of Surface Temperatures in the</u> <u>IPCC Fifth Assessment Report</u>.

Climate and history; one example among many

1949: Recent climatic fluctuations by Leo Lysgaard

Front cover (left), and figure 28 (right) of Lysgaard 1949. The diagram shows the change of mean annual air temperature from 1910 to 1940, with highest temperature rise over the Arctic and the northern temperate zone, and less temperature increase in the southern hemisphere. Parts of Asia and Australia had experienced a temperature decrease along with the general global temperature increase. There is no data from the Antarctic.

The global temperature increase during the first part of the 20th century prompted in 1949 the publication of a major climatological thesis entitled 'Recent climatic fluctuations' by Leo Lysgaard, Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark. In the preface, Lysgaard motivates the work in the following way: "*The Danish Meteorological Institute could not fail to notice the rise in temperature which took place in the Arctic regions in the twenties, and when this continued into the thirties, the present investigations were instituted to throw light, if possible, on the extent of the climatic changes or fluctuations and the cause for the same.*"

The publication is impressive, with a high number of tables and figures. This is before computes came into general use, so, presumably, most of the calculations must have been made by hand. Also the task of collecting meteorological data from different stations worldwide must have represented a major effort, as no global meteorological databases existed at that time. Generally, the analyses presented in the thesis are derived from calculated overlapping thirty year normals for air temperature, precipitation, pressure, gradients, direction of wind and number of sunspots, supplemented by a number of correlation coefficients between various variables.

The diagrams below show examples of the observed temperature increase winter (January) and summer (July) for a number of stations in the northern hemisphere.

Figure 6 (left) and 13 (right) from Lysgaard 1949. Figure 6 shows the change of January temperature for a number of selected stations, while figure 13 shows the change of July temperatures. The graphs are showing overlapping values of 30-yr averages.

Lysgaard (1949) devotes a chapter (Section 5) of this thesis to discussing the cause and effect of the climatic variation. He states the following:

"According to the tables and curves, the climatic variations which have occurred during the time meteorological observations have been made are so considerable that they cannot be explained as being due to ordinary urbanization or erroneous measurements. All elements have been subjected to changes or fluctuations. In some places, especially in the Arctic regions, the January normal temperature has risen more than $3^{\circ}C$ in recent years but the July normal has also risen more than $1^{\circ}C$ in some places, which is of particular importance regarding the melting of the glaciers."

"The pressure and thereby the gradient and the general circulation of the atmosphere have also been subject to considerable changes or fluctuations, apart from the doldrums perhaps. The direction and velocity of the wind have both varied in the winter. The velocity has generally been increasing up to about, or just after 1930. When everything else is equal, higher wind velocities should mean increasing temperatures in winter and decreasing

temperatures in summer, as the air movement impedes the formation of both cold and warm surface layers of air. Air masses which flow towards colder districts will in fact bring more warmth along when the velocity increases."

Lysgaard (1949) then (p.65) list three possible ways of explaining the observed warming of the atmosphere:

- 1. It can, inter alia, have received more heat from the interior of the Earth.
- It can have radiated less heat into space as a result of a change of the contents of carbondioxide, aqueous vapour, volcanic and electrical particles of the atmosphere.
- 3. It can have received more heat from the sun in consequence of a variation in solar radiation or the contents of volcanic and electric particles.

Lysgaard (1949) then proceeds (p.65): "As regards Point 1, the quantity of heat which the atmosphere receives from the interior of the earth is extremely insignificant and as far as is known, no measurements exists indicating that the rise in temperature and the increased atmospheric circulation of recent years should be due to the heat of the earth."

"As to the other points, no measurements exist either which indicate that the carbon-dioxide contents have so increased that they have importance for the hot-house effect of the atmosphere. The course of the curves seems also to show that the carbon-dioxide cannot have caused the climatic variation."

(p.66): "We will, however, keep to the causes of the present climatic fluctuations and to indications that the cause is to be found in an increase of solar radiation. One must prognosticate here that an increase of solar activity does not necessarily cause a rise in temperature over the whole earth, in any case not immediately. It is so that a variation in one weather element will inevitably cause variations in all other elements, a state of affairs which makes the whole problem so intricate and beyond computation. A temporary fluctuation of the solar radiation can thus very well produce a climatic fluctuation of considerably longer duration on the earth."

41

References:

Lysgaard, L. 1949. *Recent climatic fluctuations*. Folia Geographica Danica, Tom.V, 85 pp. + 258 tables + 91 diagrams.

All the above diagrams with supplementary information, including links to data sources and previous issues of this newsletter, are available on www.climate4you.com

Yours sincerely,

Ole Humlum (Ole.Humlum@geo.uio.no)

March 18, 2015.