Climate4you update June 2012

www.climate4you.com

June 2012 global surface air temperature overview







June 2012 surface air temperature compared to the average 1998-2006. Green-yellow-red colours indicate areas with higher temperature than the 1998-2006 average, while blue colours indicate lower than average temperatures. Data source: Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS)

Comments to the June 2012 global surface air temperature overview

General: This newsletter contains graphs showing a selection of key meteorological variables for the past month. *All temperatures are given in degrees Celsius*.

In the above maps showing the geographical pattern of surface air temperatures, *the period 1998-2006 is used as reference period*. The reason for comparing with this recent period instead of the official WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990, is that the latter period is affected by the relatively cold period 1945-1980. Almost any comparison with such a low average value will therefore appear as high or warm, and it will be difficult to decide if and where modern surface air temperatures are increasing or decreasing at the moment. Comparing with a more recent period overcomes this problem. In addition to this consideration, the recent temperature development suggests that the time window 1998-2006 may roughly represent a global temperature peak. If so, negative temperature anomalies will gradually become more and more widespread as time goes on. However, if positive anomalies instead gradually become more widespread, this reference period only represented a temperature plateau.

In the other diagrams in this newsletter *the thin line represents the monthly global average value*, and *the thick line indicate a simple running average*, in most cases a simple moving 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a three year average. The 37-month average is calculated from values covering a range from 18 month before to 18 months after, with equal weight for every month.

The year 1979 has been chosen as starting point in many diagrams, as this roughly corresponds to both the beginning of satellite observations and the onset of the late 20th century warming period. However, several of the records have a much longer record length, which may be inspected in grater detail on www.Climate4you.com.

June 2012 average global surface air temperatures

General: Global air temperatures were close to average for the period 1998-2006.

The Northern Hemisphere was characterised by relatively high regional variability. Northern and western Europe was relatively cold, as was part of the Northern Atlantic and northern Pacific. Eastern Russia, western Siberia and much of North America experienced relatively warm conditions. Western North America and especially Alaska were cold. The Arctic had regions somewhat above as well as below the 1998-2006 average. Especially NW-Siberia and a region around the Davis Strait were warm. However, the distribution of temperatures in the Arctic to a high degree reflect the sparse number of observations in the central part of the Arctic, and the GISS procedure of extrapolating temperatures measured at lower latitudes to high latitudes.

Near Equator temperatures conditions in general were at or below average 1998-2006 temperature conditions, both for land and ocean. The exception is the region west of South America, where an El Niño situation is developing.

The Southern Hemisphere was below or near average 1998-2006 conditions. No extensive land areas experienced temperatures above the 1998-2006 average. Especially southern Africa and most of Australia had below average temperatures. Most of the oceans in the Southern Hemisphere were near or below average temperature. The Antarctic continent generally experienced below average 1998-2006 temperatures. The only warm area was located around eastern Weddell Sea. Once again, a temperature contrast is seen between the two Polar regions.

The global oceanic heat content has been more or less stable since 2003/2004 (page 10).

All diagrams shown in this newsletter and links to original data are available on www.climate4you.com

Lower troposphere temperature from satellites, updated to June 2012



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to [Remote Sensing Systems](#) (RSS), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.

Global surface air temperature, updated to June 2012



4

Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's Climatic Research Unit (CRU), UK. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average. Please note that this diagram has not been updated beyond May 2012.



Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS), at Columbia University, New York City, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.



Global monthly average surface air temperature since 1979 according to according to the [National Climatic Data Center](#) (NCDC), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.

A note on data record stability:

All the above temperature estimates display changes when one compare with previous monthly data sets, not only for the most recent months as a result of supplementary data being added, but actually for all months back to the very beginning of the records. Presumably this reflects recognition of errors, changes in the averaging procedure, and the influence of other phenomena.

Of the five global records shown above the most stable temperature record seen over time (since 2008) is by far the HadCRUT3 series.

You can find more on the issue of temporal stability (or lack of this) on [www.climate4you](#) (go to: *Global Temperature*, followed by *Temporal Stability*).

All in one, updated to May 2012



Superimposed plot of all five global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (10 years) from January 1979 to December 1988. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all five temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-1988 averages.

It should be kept in mind that satellite- and surface-based temperature estimates are derived from different types of measurements, and that comparing them directly as done in the diagram above therefore in principle may be problematical. However, as both types of estimate often are discussed together, the above diagram may nevertheless be of some interest. In fact, the different types of temperature estimates appear to agree quite well as to the overall temperature variations on a 2-3 year scale, although on a shorter time scale there are often considerable differences between the individual records.

All five global temperature estimates presently show an overall stagnation, at least since 2002. There has been no increase in global air temperature since 1998, which however was affected by the oceanographic El Niño event. This stagnation does not exclude the possibility that global temperatures will begin to increase again later. On the other hand, it also remain a possibility that Earth just now is passing a temperature peak, and that global temperatures will begin to decrease within the coming years. Time will show which of these two possibilities is correct.

Global sea surface temperature, updated to late June 2012



Sea surface temperature anomaly at 27 June 2012. Map source: National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NOAA).

Relative warm sea surface water now dominates the ocean regions near Equator, especially in the Indian Ocean and in the Pacific. Because of the large surface areas involved especially near Equator, the temperature of the surface water in these regions significantly affects the global atmospheric temperature.

An El Niño episode is developing along the west coast of South America, and the effect of this is seen in the global air temperature estimates (p.3-5).

The significance of such short-term warming or cooling seen in air temperatures should not be over stated. Whenever Earth experiences cold La Niña or warm El Niño episodes (Pacific Ocean) major heat exchanges takes place between the Pacific Ocean and the atmosphere above, eventually showing up in estimates of the global air temperature. However, this does not reflect similar changes in the total heat content of the atmosphere-ocean system. In fact, net changes may be small, as heat exchanges as the above mainly reflect redistribution of energy between ocean and atmosphere. What matters is the overall temperature development when seen over a number of years.



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature over oceans (thin line) since 1979 according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit](#) ([CRU](#)), UK. Base period: 1961-1990. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average. Please note that no update beyond April 2012 is available at the moment.



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to the [National Climatic Data Center](#) (NCDC), USA. Base period: 1901-2000. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average.

Global ocean heat content, updated to March 2012



Global monthly heat content anomaly (GJ/m2) in the uppermost 700 m of the oceans since January 1979. Data source: National Oceanographic Data Center(NODC).



Global monthly heat content anomaly (GJ/m2) in the uppermost 700 m of the oceans since January 1955. Data source: National Oceanographic Data Center(NODC).

Zonal air temperatures, updated to June 2012



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the tropics and the northern and southern extratropics, according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. Thin lines show the monthly temperature. Thick lines represent the simple running 37 month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average. Reference period 1981-2010.

Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperature, updated to June 2012



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the North Pole and South Pole regions, based on satellite observations ([University of Alabama]() at Huntsville, USA). Thin lines show the monthly temperature. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average.

[Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperature, updated to March 2012](#)



Diagram showing Arctic monthly surface air temperature anomaly 70-90°N since January 2000, in relation to the WMO reference "normal" period 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 13 month average. Data provided by the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit](#) ([CRU](#)), UK.



Diagram showing Antarctic monthly surface air temperature anomaly 70-90°S since January 2000, in relation to the WMO reference "normal" period 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 13 month average. Data provided by the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit](#) ([CRU](#)), UK.



Diagram showing Arctic monthly surface air temperature anomaly 70-90°N since January 1957, in relation to the WMO reference "normal" period 1961-1990. The year 1957 has been chosen as starting year, to ensure easy comparison with the maximum length of the realistic Antarctic temperature record shown below. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 13 month average. Data provided by the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit](CRU) ([CRU]), UK.



Diagram showing Antarctic monthly surface air temperature anomaly 70-90°S since January 1957, in relation to the WMO reference "normal" period 1961-1990. The year 1957 was an international geophysical year, and several meteorological stations were established in the Antarctic because of this. Before 1957, the meteorological coverage of the Antarctic continent is poor. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 13 month average. Data provided by the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's Climatic Research Unit (CRU), UK.



Diagram showing Arctic monthly surface air temperature anomaly 70-90°N since January 1900, in relation to the WMO reference "normal" period 1961-1990. The thin blue line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker red line shows the running 13 month average. In general, the range of monthly temperature variations decreases throughout the first 30-50 years of the record, reflecting the increasing number of meteorological stations north of 70°N over time. Especially the period from about 1930 saw the establishment of many new Arctic meteorological stations, first in Russia and Siberia, and following the 2nd World War, also in North America. Because of the relatively small number of stations before 1930, details in the early part of the Arctic temperature record should not be over interpreted. The rapid Arctic warming around 1920 is, however, clearly visible, and is also documented by other sources of information. The period since 2000 is warm, about as warm as the period 1930-1940. Data provided by the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's Climatic Research Unit (CRU), UK

In general, the Arctic temperature record appears to be less variable than the Antarctic record, presumably at least partly due to the higher number of meteorological stations north of 70°N, compared to the number of stations south of 70°S.

As data coverage is sparse in the Polar Regions, the procedure of Gillet et al. 2008 has been followed, giving equal weight to data in each 5°x5° grid cell when calculating means, with no weighting by the surface areas of the individual grid dells.

Literature:

Gillett, N.P., Stone, D.A., Stott, P.A., Nozawa, T., Karpechko, A.Y.U., Hegerl, G.C., Wehner, M.F. and Jones, P.D. 2008. Attribution of polar warming to human influence. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 750-754.

Arctic and Antarctic sea ice, updated to June 2012



Graphs showing monthly Antarctic, Arctic and global sea ice extent since November 1978, according to the [National Snow and Ice data Center](#) (NSIDC).



Graph showing daily Arctic sea ice extent since June 2002, to July 15, 2012, by courtesy of [Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency](#) (JAXA).



Northern hemisphere sea ice extension and thickness on 28 June 2012 according to the Arctic Cap Nowcast/Forecast System (ACNFS), US Naval Research Laboratory. Thickness scale (m) is shown to the right.

17

Global sea level, updated to April 2012



Globa lmonthly sea level since late 1992 according to the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research at [University of Colorado at Boulder](), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 observation average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average.



Forecasted change of global sea level until year 2100, based on simple extrapolation of measurements done by the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research at [University of Colorado at Boulder](), USA. The thick line is the simple running 3 yr average forecast for sea level change until year 2100. Based on this (thick line), the present simple empirical forecast of sea level change until 2100 is about +18 cm.

Northern Hemisphere weekly snow cover, updated to early June 2012



Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 2000 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin line represents the weekly data, and the thick line is the running 53 week average (approximately 1 year).



Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since October 1966 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin line represents the weekly data, and the thick line is the running 53 week average (approximately 1 year). The running average is not calculated before 1971 because of data gaps in this early period.

Atmospheric CO_2, updated to June 2012





Monthly amount of atmospheric CO_2 (above) and annual growth rate (below; average last 12 months minus average preceding 12 months) of atmospheric CO_2 since 1959, according to data provided by the Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 observation average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 yr average.

Global surface air temperature and atmospheric CO_2, updated to June 2012







Diagrams showing HadCRUT3, GISS, and NCDC monthly global surface air temperature estimates (blue) and the monthly atmospheric CO_2 content (red) according to the [Mauna Loa Observatory](), Hawaii. The Mauna Loa data series begins in March 1958, and 1958 has therefore been chosen as starting year for the diagrams. Reconstructions of past atmospheric CO_2 concentrations (before 1958) are not incorporated in this diagram, as such past CO_2 values are derived by other means (ice cores, stomata, or older measurements using different methodology, and therefore are not directly comparable with direct atmospheric measurements. The dotted grey line indicates the approximate linear temperature trend, and the boxes in the lower part of the diagram indicate the relation between atmospheric CO_2 and global surface air temperature, negative or positive. Please note that the HadCRUT3 diagram has not been updated beyond May 2012.

Most climate models assume the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide CO_2 to influence significantly upon global temperature. It is therefore relevant to compare different temperature records with measurements of atmospheric CO_2, as shown in the diagrams above. Any comparison, however, should not be made on a monthly or annual basis, but for a longer time period, as other effects (oceanographic, etc.) may well override the potential influence of CO_2 on short time scales such as just a few years. It is of cause equally inappropriate to present new meteorological record values, whether daily, monthly or annual, as support for the hypothesis ascribing high importance of atmospheric CO_2 for global temperatures. Any such short-period meteorological record value may well be the result of other phenomena.

What exactly defines the critical length of a relevant time period to consider for evaluating the alleged importance of CO_2 remains elusive, and is still a topic for discussion. However, the critical period length must be inversely proportional to the temperature sensitivity of CO_2, including feedback effects. If the net temperature effect of atmospheric CO_2 is strong, the critical time period will be short, and vice versa.

However, past climate research history provides some clues as to what has traditionally been considered the relevant length of period over which to compare temperature and atmospheric CO_2. After about 10 years of concurrent global temperature- and CO_2-increase, IPCC was established in 1988. For obtaining public and political support for the CO_2-hyphotesis the 10 year warming period leading up to 1988 in all likelihood was important. Had the global temperature instead been decreasing, politic support for the hypothesis would have been difficult to obtain.

Based on the previous 10 years of concurrent temperature- and CO_2-increase, many climate scientists in 1988 presumably felt that their understanding of climate dynamics was sufficient to conclude about the importance of CO_2 for global temperature changes. From this it may safely be concluded that 10 years was considered a period long enough to demonstrate the effect of increasing atmospheric CO_2 on global temperatures.

Adopting this approach as to critical time length (at least 10 years), the varying relation (positive or negative) between global temperature and atmospheric CO2 has been indicated in the lower panels of the diagrams above.

Last 20 year surface temperature changes, updated to May 2012



Last 20 years global monthly average surface air temperature according to Hadley CRUT, a cooperative effort between the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's Climatic Research Unit (CRU), UK. The thin blue line represents the monthly values. The thick red line is the linear fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin red lines. The thick green line represents a 5-degree polynomial fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin green lines. A few key statistics is given in the lower part of the diagram (note that the linear trend is the monthly trend). Last month included in analysis: May 2012.

From time to time it is debated if the global surface temperature is increasing, or if the temperature has levelled out during the last 10-15 years. The above diagram may be useful in this context, and it clearly demonstrates the differences between two often used statistical approaches to determine recent temperature trends. Please also note that such fits only attempt to describe the past, and usually have little predictive power.

Climate and history; one example among many

300 BC -400 AD: Glacier retreat in the Alps

Großer Sonnblick in southern Austria. Map (left) and photo seen from the south-east (right). The peak seen to the left in the photo is Goldzechkopf, while Großer Sonnblick is to the right.

Many glaciers in the Alps apparently retreated from about 300 BC to about 400 AD (Delibras et al. 1975). At that time they probably were comparable in size or even less extensive as today, as is indicated by Roman gold mines established high up in the Alps in the Sonnblick area (Austria).

Traffic over Alpine passes at that time continued even in winter time, and actual reports of winters in central and northwestern Europe that are recorded from those times indicate only few that were notable for snows (Lamb 1977).

Gold and Silver have been mined in this region for several thousands of years, and the the Greek geographer Strabo gives the first record for gold mining in the region. Most probably mining activities started in Pre-Roman time like in many other places in the Salzburg region (copper in Muehlbach and salt at Hallein/Duerrnberg).

At Hocharn the mines at the Goldzeche and at Grieswies-Schwarzkogel were most important. The underground workings reached heights of more than 3000m and are considered to be the highest gold mines in Europe.

It appears that after the collapse of the Roman Empire in AD 476 some of the mines were blocked by advancing glaciers, and therefore given up. The entrance to some of these mines are probably still covered by glacier ice while others have only recently come to light as the glacier retreated in the 20th century (Lamb 1977, 1995).

However, several of the mine entrances apparently again became free of ice during the Medieval warm period, and in late medieval times the Salzburg region became the world´s largest producer of gold. In the 19th century advancing glaciers blocking the entrance to the mines (many glaciers in the eastern Alps reached their Little Ice Age maximum around 1860) and falling gold price and caused the closure of many gold mines in this region of Austria. Mining finally ceased at the end of World War II.

Nowadays many topographic names remind of the historic gold mining (Hoher Goldberg, Goldzeche, Goldzechkopf, Goldlacklschneid, Pochkar, Silberpfennig, Erzwies, Huettwinkel). Gold can still be found at Hocharn and Großer Sonnblick. The Großer Sonnblick reaches a height of 3,030 m and is the easternmost peak of the Alps that exceeds an altitude of 3,000 metres.

There are still unmined economic ore bodies beneath both Hocharn and Sonnblick. But as the impact of gold mining on nature and tourism would be large the gold will presumably remain in these mountains.

Sources and References:

Delibras, G., Ladurie, M. and Ladurie, E.L. 1975. Le fossil forêt de Grindelwald: nuvelles datations. Annales: economies, sociétés, civilisations, No. 1, 137-147. Paris, Armand Colin.

Lamb, H.H. 1977. Climate, present, past and future. Volume 2. Climatic history and the future. Methuen & Co Ltd., London, 835 pp.

Lamb, H.H. 1995. Climate, History and the Modern World. Routledge, London, 2nd edition, 433 pp.

*****

All the above diagrams with supplementary information, including links to data sources and previous issues of this newsletter, are available on www.climate4you.com

Yours sincerely, Ole Humlum (Ole.Humlum@geo.uio.no)

July 20, 2012.