
# Climate4you update June 2015




#### Contents:

- Page 2: June 2015 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 3: Comments to the June 2015 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 4: Temperature quality class 1: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites
- Page 5: Temperature quality class 2: HadCRUT global surface air temperature
- Page 6: Temperature quality class 3: GISS and NCDC global surface air temperature
- Page 9: Global air temperature linear trends
- Page 10: Global temperatures: All in one
- Page 11: Global sea surface temperature
- Page 14: Ocean heat content uppermost 100 and 700 m
- Page 17: North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m
- Page 18: North Atlantic sea temperatures along 59N
- Page 19: North Atlantic sea temperatures 30-0W at 59N
- Page 20: Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 21: Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 22: Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 23: Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperatures
- Page 26: Arctic and Antarctic sea ice
- Page 30: Global sea level from satellite altimetry
- Page 31: Northern Hemisphere weekly snow cover
- Page 33: Atmospheric specific humidity
- Page 34: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- Page 35: The phase relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global temperature
- Page 36: Global surface air temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- Page 39: Last 20 year monthly surface air temperature change
- Page 40: Climate and history; one example among many:
  - 1940: The Northeast Passage reported ice free from late August to late September

## June 2015 global surface air temperature overview





June 2015 surface air temperature compared to the average of the last 10 years. Green-yellow-red colours indicate areas with higher temperature than the 10 year average, while blue colours indicate lower than average temperatures. Data source: <u>Goddard Institute for Space Studies</u> (GISS).

#### Comments to the June 2015 global surface air temperature overview

<u>General</u>: This newsletter contains graphs showing a selection of key meteorological variables for the past month. <u>All temperatures are given in degrees</u> Celsius.

In the above maps showing the geographical pattern of surface air temperatures, <u>the last previous 10 years (2005-2014) are used as reference period</u>.

The reason for comparing with this recent period instead of the official WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990, is that the latter period is profoundly affected by the cold period 1945-1980. Most comparisons with this time period will obviously appear as warm, and it will be difficult to decide if modern surface air temperatures are increasing or decreasing? Comparing instead with the last previous 10 years overcomes this problem and displays the modern dynamics of ongoing change.

In addition, the GISS temperature data used for preparing the above diagrams display distinct temporal instability for data before the turn of the century (see p. 7). Any comparison with <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2001/jhen.2

In many diagrams shown in this newsletter the thin line represents the monthly global average value, and the thick line indicate a simple running average, in most cases a simple moving 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a three-year average. The 37-month average is calculated from values covering a range from 18 month before to 18 months after, with equal weight for every month.

The year 1979 has been chosen as starting point in many diagrams, as this roughly corresponds to both the beginning of satellite observations and the onset of the late 20<sup>th</sup> century warming period. However, several of the data series have a much

longer record length, which may be inspected in greater detail on <a href="https://www.Climate4you.com">www.Climate4you.com</a>.

#### June 2015 global surface air temperatures

<u>General</u>: The average global air temperature was close to the average for the last ten years.


<u>The Northern Hemisphere</u> was characterised by regional air temperature contrasts, especially in the Northern Hemisphere, as usual. Western North America, eastern Siberia and western Russia had above average temperatures. Eastern North America, the North Atlantic, Europe and western Siberia had below average temperatures. The central Arctic had generally below or near average temperatures, while the Canadian and Russian sector had above average temperatures.

<u>Near the Equator</u> temperatures were above average in most of the Pacific Ocean. Otherwise, this region generally had temperatures near or somewhat the 1998-2006 average. The Atlantic sector however had below average temperature.


<u>The Southern Hemisphere</u> temperatures were mainly near or below average 1998-2006 conditions. Most of South America and Australia had below average temperatures, while most of Africa had below average temperature. The Antarctic continent generally had below average temperatures.

June 18, 2015: Please note that NCDC has introduced a number of rather large administrative changes to their sea surface temperature record (p. 12). The overall result is to produce a record giving the impression of a continuous temperature increase, also in the 21st century. As the oceans cover about 71% of the entire surface of planet Earth, the effect of this administrative change is clearly seen in the NCDC record for global surface air temperature (p. 6).

#### Temperature quality class 1: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites, updated to May 2015




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to <u>University of Alabama</u> at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to <u>Remote Sensing Systems</u> (RSS), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research and the University of East Anglia's <u>Climatic Research Unit</u> (<u>CRU</u>), UK. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Version HadCRUT4 (blue) is now replacing HadCRUT3 (red). Please note that this diagram is not yet updated beyond May 2015.

#### Temperature quality class 3: GISS and NCDC global surface air temperature, updated to June 2015



Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the <u>Goddard Institute for Space Studies</u> (GISS), at Columbia University, New York City, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.



Global monthly average surface air temperature since 1979 according to according to the <u>National Climatic Data Center</u> (NCDC), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

<u>June 18, 2015:</u> NCDC has introduced a number of rather large administrative changes to their sea surface temperature record (p. 12). The overall result is to produce a record giving the impression of a continuous temperature increase, also in the 21st century. As the oceans cover about 71% of the entire surface of planet Earth, the effect of this administrative change is clearly seen in the NCDC record for global surface air temperature above.

#### A note on data record stability:

All the above temperature estimates display changes when one compare with previous monthly data sets, not only for the most recent months as a result of supplementary data being added, but actually for all months back to the very beginning of the records, more than 100 years ago. Presumably this reflects recognition of errors, changes in the averaging procedure, and the influence of other unknown phenomena.

None of the temperature records are entirely stable over time (since 2008). The two surface air temperature records, NCDC and GISS, show apparent systematic changes over time. This is exemplified the diagram on the following page showing the changes since May 2008 in the NCDC global surface temperature record for January 1915 and January 2000, illustrating how the difference between the early and late part of the temperature records gradually is growing by such administrative adjustments.

You can find more on the issue of lack of temporal stability on <a href="www.climate4you">www.climate4you</a> (go to: Global Temperature, followed by Temporal Stability).




Diagram showing the adjustment made since May 2008 by the <u>Goddard Institute for Space Studies</u> (GISS), USA, in anomaly values for the months January 1910 and January 2000.

<u>Note:</u> The administrative upsurge of the temperature increase between January 1915 and January 2000 has grown from 0.45 (reported May 2008) to 0.68°C (reported July 2015), representing an about 51% administrative temperature increase over this period, meaning that more than half of the apparent temperature increase from January 1910 to January 2000 is due to administrative manipulations of the original data since May 2008.

#### Global air temperature linear trends updated to May 2015




Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20 and 30 yr linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for two satellite-based temperature estimates (UAH MSU and RSS MSU).





Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 70 and 100 year linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for three surface-based temperature estimates (GISS, NCDC and HadCRUT4).




Superimposed plot of all five global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all five temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-1988 averages.

It should be kept in mind that satellite- and surface-based temperature estimates are derived from different types of measurements, and that comparing them directly as done in the diagram above therefore may be somewhat problematical. However, as both types of estimate often are discussed together, the above diagram may nevertheless be of some interest. In fact, the different types of temperature estimates appear to agree quite well as to the overall temperature variations on a 2-3 year scale, although on a shorter time scale there are often considerable differences between the individual records.

All five global temperature estimates presently show an overall stagnation, at least since 2002. There has been no increase in global air temperature since 1998, which however was affected by the oceanographic El Niño event. This stagnation does not exclude the possibility that global temperatures will begin to increase again later. On the other hand, it also remain a possibility that Earth just now is passing a temperature peak, and that global temperatures will begin to decrease during the coming years. Time will show which of these two possibilities is correct.

#### Global sea surface temperature, updated to June 2015

## NOAA/NWS/NCEP/EMC Marine Modeling and Analysis Branch RTG\_SST Anomaly (0.5 deg X 0.5 deg) for 27 Jun 2015




Sea surface temperature anomaly on 27 June 2015. Map source: National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NOAA).

Because of the large surface areas near Equator, the temperature of the surface water in these regions is especially important for the global atmospheric temperature (p.4-6).


Relatively warm water is dominating the oceans near the Equator, and is influencing global air temperatures now and in the months to come.

The significance of any such short-term cooling or warming reflected in air temperatures should not be over stated. Whenever Earth experiences cold La Niña or warm El Niño episodes (Pacific Ocean) major heat exchanges takes place between the Pacific Ocean and the atmosphere above, eventually showing up in estimates of the global air temperature.

However, this does not reflect similar changes in the total heat content of the atmosphere-ocean system. In fact, global net changes can be small and such heat exchanges may mainly reflect redistribution of energy between ocean and atmosphere. What matters is the overall temperature development when seen over a number of years.




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature over oceans (thin line) since 1979 according to <u>University of Alabama</u> at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37 month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats.



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to University of East Anglia's <u>Climatic Research Unit</u> (<u>CRU</u>), UK. Base period: 1961-1990. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats.







Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to the <u>National Climatic Data Center</u> (NCDC), USA. Base period: 1901-2000. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats.

<u>June 18, 2015:</u> NCDC has introduced a number of rather large administrative changes to their sea surface temperature record. The overall result is to produce a record giving the impression of a continuous temperature increase, also in the 21st century. As the oceans cover about 71% of the entire surface of planet Earth, the effect of this administrative change is clearly seen in the NCDC record for global surface air temperature (p. 6).


#### Ocean heat content uppermost 100 and 700 m, updated to March 2015




Oceanographic Data Center(NODC).




World Oceans vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.



Pacific Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: <u>NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center</u> (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.





Atlantic Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.



Indian Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: <u>NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center</u> (NODC). Base period 1955-2010.


## North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m, updated to March 2015






Global monthly heat content anomaly (GJ/m2) in the uppermost 700 m of the North Atlantic (60-0W, 30-65N; see map above) ocean since January 1955. The thin line indicates monthly values, and the thick line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC).


#### North Atlantic sea temperatures along 59N, updated to January 2015






Depth-temperature diagram along 59 N across the North Atlantic, extending from northern Labrador in the west to northern Scotland in the east, using <u>Argo</u>-data. The uppermost panel shows the absolute temperature, and the lower diagram shows the temperature anomaly, using the monthly average temperature 2004-2013 as reference. Source: <u>Global Marine Argo Atlas</u>.

#### North Atlantic sea temperatures 30-0W at 59N, updated to January 2015




Average temperature along 59 N, 30-0W, 0-800m depth, corresponding to the main part of the North Atlantic Current, using <u>Argo</u>-data. Source: <u>Global Marine Argo Atlas</u>. Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. <u>Progress in Oceanography</u>, 82, 81-100.

#### Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites, updated to June 2015




Global monthly average temperature in different altitudes according to <u>Remote Sensing Systems</u> (RSS). The thin lines represent the monthly average, and the thick line the simple running 37 month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 year average.

#### Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites, updated to June 2015



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the tropics and the northern and southern extratropics, according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. Thin lines show the monthly temperature. Thick lines represent the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

#### Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperature, updated to June 2015



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the North Pole and South Pole regions, based on satellite observations (<u>University of Alabama</u> at Huntsville, USA). Thin lines show the monthly temperature. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

#### Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperature, updated to May 2015




Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37 month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37 month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37 month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90 $^{\circ}$ N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37 month (c. 3 year) average.





Diagram showing area-weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies (<u>HadCRUT4</u>) since January 1920, in relation to the WMO <u>normal period</u> 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37 month (c. 3 year) average. Because of the relatively small number of Arctic stations before 1930, month-to-month variations in the early part of the temperature record are larger than later. The period from about 1930 saw the establishment of many new Arctic meteorological stations, first <u>in Russia and Siberia</u>, and following the 2nd World War, also in North America. The period since 2000 is warm, about as warm as the period 1930-1940.

As the HadCRUT4 data series has improved high latitude coverage data coverage (compared to the HadCRUT3 series) the individual 5°x5° grid cells has been weighted according to their surface area. This is in contrast to Gillet et al. 2008 which calculated a simple average, with no consideration to the surface area represented by the individual 5°x5° grid cells.


#### Literature:

Gillett, N.P., Stone, D.A., Stott, P.A., Nozawa, T., Karpechko, A.Y.U., Hegerl, G.C., Wehner, M.F. and Jones, P.D. 2008. Attribution of polar warming to human influence. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 750-754.


#### Arctic and Antarctic sea ice, updated to June 2015



Sea ice extent 26 June 2015. The 'normal' or average limit of sea ice (orange line) is defined as 15% sea ice cover, according to the average of satellite observations 1981-2010 (both years inclusive). Sea ice may therefore well be encountered outside and open water areas inside the limit shown in the diagrams above. Map source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).




Graphs showing monthly Antarctic, Arctic and global sea ice extent since November 1978, according to the <u>National Snow and Ice data</u> <u>Center</u> (NSIDC).




Graph showing daily Arctic sea ice extent since June 2002, to 22 February 2015, by courtesy of <u>Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency</u> (JAXA). Please note that this diagram has not been updated beyond February 2015. Website inactive at the moment.

## ARCc0.08-04.0 Ice Thickness (m): 20150628




Northern hemisphere sea ice extension and thickness on 28 June 2015 according to the <u>Arctic Cap Nowcast/Forecast System</u> (ACNFS), US Naval Research Laboratory. Thickness scale (m) to the right.




12 month running average sea ice extension, global and in both hemispheres since 1979, the satellite-era. The October 1979 value represents the monthly 12-month average of November 1978 - October 1979, the November 1979 value represents the average of December 1978 - November 1979, etc. The stippled lines represent a 61-month (ca.5 years) average. Data source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

#### Global sea level from satellite altimetry, updated to February 2015




Global sea level since December 1992 according to the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research at University of Colorado at Boulder. The blue dots are the individual observations, and the purple line represents the running 121-month (ca. 10 year) average. The two lower panels show the annual sea level change, calculated for 1 and 10 year time windows, respectively. These values are plotted at the end of the interval considered. Data from the TOPEX/Poseidon mission have been used before 2002, and data from the Jason-1 mission (satellite launched December 2001) after 2002.


#### Northern Hemisphere weekly snow cover, updated to July 2015



Northern hemisphere snow cover (white) and sea ice (yellow) 18 July 2014 (left) and 2015 (right). Map source: <u>National Ice Center (NIC)</u>.




Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 2000 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2014 average.




Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 1972 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2014 average.


#### Atmospheric specific humidity, updated to June 2015



<u>Specific atmospheric humidity</u> (g/kg) at three different altitudes in the lower part of the atmosphere (<u>the Troposphere</u>) since January 1948 (<u>Kalnay et al. 1996</u>). The thin blue lines shows monthly values, while the thick blue lines show the running 37-month average (about 3 years). Data source: <u>Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA)</u>.



Monthly amount of atmospheric  $CO_2$  (upper diagram) and annual growth rate (lower diagram); average last 12 months minus average preceding 12 months, thin line) of atmospheric  $CO_2$  since 1959, according to data provided by the <u>Mauna Loa Observatory</u>, Hawaii, USA. The thick, stippled line is the simple running 37-observation average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 year average.



12-month change of global atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration (Mauna Loa; green), global sea surface temperature (HadSST3; blue) and global surface air temperature (HadCRUT4; red dotted). All graphs are showing monthly values of DIFF12, the difference between the average of the last 12 month and the average for the previous 12 months for each data series.

#### References:

35

Humlum, O., Stordahl, K. and Solheim, J-E. 2012. The phase relation between atmospheric carbon dioxide and global temperature. Global and Planetary Change, August 30, 2012.

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818112001658?v=s5

1975

1980

1985

1990

1995

2000

2005

2010


2015

1970

1960

36

1965



Diagrams showing HadCRUT4, GISS, and NCDC monthly global surface air temperature estimates (blue) and the monthly atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> content (red) according to the <u>Mauna Loa Observatory</u>, Hawaii. The Mauna Loa data series begins in March 1958, and 1958 was therefore chosen as starting year for the diagrams. Reconstructions of past atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (before 1958) are not incorporated in this diagram, as such past CO<sub>2</sub> values are derived by other means (ice cores, stomata, or older measurements using different methodology), and therefore are not directly comparable with direct atmospheric measurements. The dotted grey line indicates the approximate linear temperature trend, and the boxes in the lower part of the diagram indicate the relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global surface air temperature, negative or positive. Please note that the HadCRUT4 diagram is not yet updated beyond May 2015.

Most climate models assume the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub> to influence significantly upon global temperature. It is therefore relevant to compare different temperature records with measurements of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, as shown in the diagrams above. Any comparison, however, should not be made on a monthly or annual basis, but for other longer time period, as effects (oceanographic, etc.) may well override the potential influence of CO<sub>2</sub> on short time scales such as just a few years. It is of cause equally inappropriate to present new meteorological record values, whether daily, monthly or annual, as support for the hypothesis ascribing high importance of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> for global temperatures. Any such meteorological record value may well be the result of other phenomena.

What exactly defines the critical length of a relevant time period to consider for evaluating the alleged importance of  $CO_2$  remains elusive, and is still a topic for discussion. However, the critical period length must be inversely proportional to the temperature sensitivity of  $CO_2$ , including feedback effects. If the net temperature effect of atmospheric  $CO_2$  is strong, the critical time period will be short, and vice versa.


However, past climate research history provides some clues as to what has traditionally been considered the relevant length of period over which to compare temperature and atmospheric  $CO_2$ . After about 10 years of concurrent global temperature— and  $CO_2$ -increase, IPCC was established in 1988. For obtaining public and political support for the  $CO_2$ -hyphotesis the 10 year warming period leading up to 1988 in all likelihood was important. Had the global temperature instead been decreasing, politic support for the hypothesis would have been difficult to obtain.

Based on the previous 10 years of concurrent temperature- and CO<sub>2</sub>-increase, many climate scientists in 1988 presumably felt that their

understanding of climate dynamics was sufficient to conclude about the importance of  $CO_2$  for global temperature changes. From this it may safely be concluded that 10 years was considered a period long enough to demonstrate the effect of increasing atmospheric  $CO_2$  on global temperatures.

Adopting this approach as to critical time length (at least 10 years), the varying relation (positive or negative) between global temperature and atmospheric  $CO_2$  has been indicated in the lower panels of the diagrams above.

Last 20 year monthly surface air temperature changes, updated to May 2015




Last 20 years global monthly average surface air temperature according to Hadley CRUT, a cooperative effort between the <u>Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research</u> and the <u>University of East Anglia</u>'s <u>Climatic Research Unit</u> (<u>CRU</u>), UK. The thin blue line represents the monthly values. The thick red line is the linear fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin red lines. The thick green line represents a 5-degree polynomial fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin green lines. A few key statistics is given in the lower part of the diagram (note that the linear trend is the monthly trend). Please note that the linear regression is done by month, not year.

It is quite often debated if the global surface air temperature still increases, or if the temperature has levelled out during the last 15-18 years. The above diagram may be useful in this context, and demonstrates the differences between two often used statistical approaches to determine recent temperature trends. Please also note that such fits only attempt to describe the past, and usually have limited predictive power. In addition, before using any linear trend (or other) analysis of time series a proper statistical model should be chosen, based on statistical justification.

For temperature time series there is no *a priori* physical reason why the long-term trend should be linear in time. In fact, climatic time series often have trends for which a straight line is not a good approximation, as can clearly be seen from several of the diagrams in the present report.

For an excellent description of problems often encountered by analyses of temperature time series analyses please see <u>Keenan, D.J. 2014:</u> <u>Statistical Analyses of Surface Temperatures in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report.</u>

### 1940: The Northeast Passage reported ice free from late August to late September



Maps showing temperatures in August (left) and September (right) 1940, compared to average conditions 1900-1929. The high air temperatures along the Northeast Passage most likely indicate the presence of open water along the Russian-Siberian coast. Usually open water in the Arctic affects measured air temperatures more than the other way around. The temperature pattern in the central part of the Arctic Ocean should not be interpreted in any great detail, as data are sparse from this region. Temperature data source: NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS).

Ahlmann (1947) describes changes in the Arctic sea ice cover, based on information obtained from the Arctic Institute in Leningrad, June 1945. For several years, the sea ice conditions along the arctic coast of USSR have been monitored in detail, because of the importance for USSR shipping along the coast, and since 1939 especially because of the strategic importance of the Northeast Passage during the second World War.

According to the Arctic Institute in Leningrad ice conditions began to improve around 1920. The reduction of the summer sea ice was first recorded in the Barents Sea and in the Kara Sea to the west, and later spread to more eastern parts of the Northeast Passage. From the end of August 1940 to the end of September the entire Northeast Passage was reported free of ice (Ahlman 1947, p.305). No less than about 100 ships were operating along the USSR arctic coast during this period.

The figure above also suggest relatively warm conditions in large parts of the Arctic August-September 1940. Relatively open sea ice conditions is also suggested by the navigation within only two weeks of the entire Northeast Passage by the German auxiliary cruiser Komet (3287 BRT) between 20. August and 4. September 1940 (Barr 1975; Flaherty 2004).

Mapping of the sea ice along the USSR arctic coast from airplanes demonstrated that the summer sea ice extent from 1924 to 1944 decreased about 1 mill. km² within the USSR part of the Arctic (Ahlmann 1947). For comparison; the reduction of Arctic summer sea ice in September 2007 (a record low value) was about 2.5 mill. km² below the average satellite period 1979-2000 September extent for the whole Arctic Ocean.

In addition, along the route taken by the drifting Norwegian research vessel Fram across the Arctic Ocean

1893-1896, the average sea ice thickness of first year sea ice has decreased from 365 cm as recorded by Fram, to only 218 cm as recorded by the USSR icebreaker Sedow during its drift 1937-1940, roughly following the route of Fram 1893-1896 (Ahlman 1947).

Finally, Ahlmann (1947) draws attention to coastal erosion in the USSR part of the Arctic. A couple of

Siberian islands consisting of ice-rich permafrost have melted completely away because of the warming, and the southern border of permafrost in Russia and Siberia has retreated towards north by several 10 km's.

A collection of old sea ice maps prepared by the Danish Meteorological Institute can be studied at this website: http://brunnur.vedur.is/pub/trausti/Iskort/Jpg/

#### References:

Ahlmann, H.W.son. 1947. Den nutida klimatfluktuationen och dess utforskande. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift* XI, nr 3-4, 290-326.

Barr, W. 1975. Operation "Wunderland": Admiral Scheer in the Kara Sea, August 1942. Polar Record 17, 461-472.

Flaherty, T. H. 2004. War on the High Seas. Time Life Inc., Caxton, London, 191 pp.

\*\*\*\*

All diagrams is this report, along with any supplementary information, including links to data sources and previous issues of this newsletter, are available on <a href="https://www.climate4you.com">www.climate4you.com</a>

Yours sincerely,

Ole Humlum (Ole.Humlum@geo.uio.no)

July 20, 2015.