


# Climate4you update October 2017




## Contents:

- Page 2: October 2017 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 3: Comments to the October 2017 global surface air temperature overview
- Page 4: Temperature quality class 1: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites
- Page 5: Temperature quality class 2: HadCRUT global surface air temperature
- Page 6: Temperature quality class 3: GISS and NCDC global surface air temperature
- Page 9: Comparing global surface air temperature and satellite-based temperatures
- Page 10: Global air temperature linear trends
- Page 11: Global temperatures: All in one, Quality Class 1, 2 and 3
- Page 13: Global sea surface temperature
- Page 16: Ocean temperature in uppermost 100 m
- Page 18: North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m
- Page 19: North Atlantic temperatures 0-800 m depth along 59N, 30-0W
- Page 20: Global ocean temperature 0-1900 m depth summary
- Page 21: Global ocean net temperature change since 2004 at different depths
- Page 22: Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 23: Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 24: Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperatures from satellites
- Page 25: Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperatures
- Page 28: Arctic and Antarctic sea ice
- Page 32: Sea level in general
- Page 33: Global sea level from satellite altimetry
- Page 34: Global sea level from tide gauges
- Page 35: Northern Hemisphere weekly and seasonal snow cover
- Page 37: Atmospheric specific humidity
- Page 38: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- Page 39: The phase relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global temperature
- Page 40: Global air temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- Page 44: Latest 20-year QC1 global monthly air temperature change
- Page 45: Sunspot activity and QC1 average satellite global air temperature
- Page 46: Climate and history: *2017: Fiji Islands and sea level changes*

## October 2017 global surface air temperature overview



2



October 2017 surface air temperature compared to the average of the last 10 years. Green-yellow-red colours indicate areas with higher temperature than the 10-year average, while blue colours indicate lower than average temperatures. Data source: [Goddard Institute for Space Studies](#) (GISS) using ERSST\_v4 ocean surface temperatures. Please note that both polar regions appear to be affected by an error in the GISS interpolation (see more next page).

## Comments to the October 2017 global surface air temperature overview

General: This newsletter contains graphs showing a selection of key meteorological variables for the past month. All temperatures are given in degrees Celsius.

In the above maps showing the geographical pattern of surface air temperatures, the last previous 10 years are used as reference period.

The rationale for comparing with this recent period instead of the official WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990, is that the latter period is affected by the cold period 1945-1980. Most comparisons with this time period will automatically appear as warm, and it will be difficult to decide if modern surface air temperatures are increasing or decreasing. Comparing instead with the last previous 10 years overcomes this problem and displays the modern dynamics of ongoing change.

In addition, the GISS temperature data used for preparing the above diagrams display distinct temporal instability for data before the turn of the century (see p. 7). Any comparison with the WMO 'normal' period 1961-1990 is therefore influenced by ongoing monthly mainly administrative changes, and not suited as reference. Comparing with the last previous 10 years is more useful.

The different air temperature records have been divided into three quality classes, QC1, QC2 and QC3, respectively, as described on page 7.

In many diagrams shown in this newsletter the thin line represents the monthly global average value, and the thick line indicate a simple running average, in most cases a simple moving 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a three-year average. The 37-month average is calculated from values covering a range from 18 months before to 18 months after, with equal weight given to all individual months.

The year 1979 has been chosen as starting point in many diagrams, as this roughly corresponds to

both the beginning of satellite observations and the onset of the late 20<sup>th</sup> century warming period. However, several of the data series have a much longer record length, which may be inspected in greater detail on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com).

### **October 2017 global surface air temperatures**


General: October 2017 GISS supplied 15943 interpolated surface air data points; all are used to produce the diagrams on page 2. For October 2017, the average global temperature anomaly was about 0.07°C above the average of the last 10 years.

The Northern Hemisphere was, as usual, characterised by strong regional differences. Alaska, eastern Canada and USA, as well as the east Greenland-Svalbard region were relatively warm. Also, most of Europe was somewhat above average. In contrast, northern Canada and most of Russia-Siberia were relatively cold. In the central Arctic region surface air temperatures were below average, although the interpolated GISS temperature data north of 80° N still appears to be affected by an interpolation artefact.

Near the Equator temperatures were, on average, near the 10-year average, but with regional differences. A relatively cold region is now emerging in eastern Pacific Ocean, previously affected by the recent El Niño episode.


The Southern Hemisphere temperatures were near the previous 10-year average. South Africa, large parts of South America and western Australia had relatively cold conditions, while eastern Australia, New Zealand and parts of Brazil were relatively warm. In the Antarctic, most regions had temperatures above average, with the Antarctic Peninsula and northernmost part of East Antarctica had relatively cold conditions.

## Temperature quality class 1: Lower troposphere temperature from satellites, updated to October 2017




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

4




Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to [Remote Sensing Systems](#) (RSS), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

## Temperature quality class 2: HadCRUT global surface air temperature, updated to September 2017




## Temperature quality class 3: GISS and NCDC global surface air temperature, updated to October 2017



Global monthly average surface air temperature (thin line) since 1979 according to according to the [Goddard Institute for Space Studies \(GISS\)](#), at Columbia University, New York City, USA, using ERSST\_v4 ocean surface temperatures. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

6



Global monthly average surface air temperature since 1979 according to according to the [National Climatic Data Center \(NCDC\)](#), USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average.

### A note on data record stability and -quality:

All temperature diagrams shown above have 1979 as starting year. This roughly marks the beginning of the recent period of global warming, after termination of the previous period of global cooling from about 1940. In addition, the year 1979 also represents the starting date for the satellite-based global temperature estimates (UAH and RSS). For the three surface air temperature records (HadCRUT, NCDC and GISS), they begin much earlier (in 1850 and 1880), as can be inspected on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com).

For all three surface air temperature records, but especially NCDC and GISS, administrative changes to anomaly values are quite often introduced, even for observations many years back in time. Some changes may be due to the delayed addition of new station data, while others probably have their origin in a change of technique to calculate average values. It is clearly impossible to evaluate the validity of such administrative changes for the outside user of these records; it is only possible to note that such changes appear very often (see example diagram next page).

In addition, the three surface records represent a blend of sea surface data collected by moving ships or by other means, plus data from land stations of partly unknown quality and unknown degree of representativeness for their region. Many of the land stations have also moved geographically during their existence, and their instrumentation changed, and they are influenced by changes in their surroundings (vegetation, buildings, etc.).

The satellite temperature records also have their problems, but these are generally of a more technical nature and therefore correctable. In addition, the temperature sampling by satellites is more regular and complete on a global basis than

that represented by the surface records. Also important is that the sensors on satellites measure temperature directly by emitted radiation, while most surface temperature measurements are indirect, using electronic resistance.

Everybody interested in climate science should gratefully acknowledge the efforts put into maintaining the different temperature databases referred to in the present newsletter. At the same time, however, it is also important to realise that all temperature records cannot be of equal scientific quality. The simple fact that they to some degree differ shows that they cannot all be correct.

On this background, and for practical reasons, Climate4you operates with three quality classes (1-3) for global temperature records, with 1 representing the highest quality level:

Quality class 1: The satellite records (UAH and RSS).

Quality class 2: The HadCRUT surface record.

Quality class 3: The NCDC and GISS surface records.

The main reason for discriminating between the three surface records is the following:

While both NCDC and GISS often experience quite large administrative changes (see example on p.8), and therefore essentially are unstable temperature records, the changes introduced to HadCRUT are fewer and smaller. For obvious reasons, as the past does not change, any record undergoing continuing changes cannot describe the past correctly all the time.

You can find more on the issue of lack of temporal stability on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com) (go to: *Global Temperature*, followed by *Temporal Stability*).





Diagram showing the adjustment made since May 2008 by the [Goddard Institute for Space Studies](#) (GISS), USA, in anomaly values for the months January 1910 and January 2000.

**Note:** The administrative upsurge of the temperature increase from January 1915 to January 2000 has grown from 0.45 (reported May 2008) to 0.69°C (reported November 2017). This represents an about 53% administrative temperature increase over this period, meaning that more than half of the apparent (as reported by GISS) global temperature increase from January 1910 to January 2000 is due to administrative changes of the original data since May 2008.

**Comparing global surface air temperature and lower troposphere satellite temperatures; updated to September 2017**



9

*Plot showing the average of monthly global surface air temperature estimates ([HadCRUT4](#), [GISS](#) and [NCDC](#)) and satellite-based temperature estimates ([RSS MSU](#) and [UAH MSU](#)). The thin lines indicate the monthly value, while the thick lines represent the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-yr average. The lower panel shows the monthly difference between average surface air temperature and satellite temperatures. As the base period differs for the different temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing to the average value of 30 years from January 1979 to December 2008.*

NOTE: Since about 2003, the average global surface air temperature is steadily drifting away in positive direction from the average satellite temperature, meaning that the surface records show warming in relation to the troposphere records. The reason(s) for this is not entirely clear, but can presumably at least partly be explained by the recurrent administrative adjustments made to the surface records (see p. 7-8).

**Global air temperature linear trends updated to September 2017**




Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20 and 30-yr linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for two satellite-based temperature estimates (UAH MSU and RSS MSU).

10






Diagram showing the latest 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 70 and 100-year linear annual global temperature trend, calculated as the slope of the linear regression line through the data points, for three surface-based temperature estimates (GISS, NCDC and HadCRUT4).

## All in one, Quality Class 1, 2 and 3; updated to September 2017




Superimposed plot of Quality Class 1 (UAH and RSS) global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of both temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-2008 averages.

11



Superimposed plot of Quality Class 1 and 2 (UAH, RSS and HadCRUT4) global monthly temperature estimates. As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all three temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-2008 averages.



Superimposed plot of Quality Class 1, 2 and 3 global monthly temperature estimates (UAH, RSS, HadCRUT4, GISS and NCDC). As the base period differs for the individual temperature estimates, they have all been normalised by comparing with the average value of the initial 120 months (30 years) from January 1979 to December 2008. The heavy black line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) mean of the average of all five temperature records. The numbers shown in the lower right corner represent the temperature anomaly relative to the individual 1979-2008 averages.

Please see notes on page 7 relating to the above three quality classes.


It should be kept in mind that satellite- and surface-based temperature estimates are derived from different types of measurements, and that comparing them directly as done in the diagram above therefore may be somewhat problematical. However, as both types of estimate often are discussed together, the above diagram may nevertheless be of some interest. In fact, the different types of temperature estimates appear to agree as to the overall temperature variations on a 2-3-year scale, although on a shorter time scale there are often considerable differences between the individual records. However, since about 2003 the surface records are consistently drifting towards higher temperatures than the satellite records (see p. 9).

The average of all five global temperature estimates presently shows an overall stagnation, at least since 2002-2003. There has been no real increase in global air temperature since 1998, which however was affected by the oceanographic El Niño event. Also, the recent (2015-16) El Niño event is probably a relatively short-lived spike on a longer development. Neither has there been a temperature decrease since 2002-2003.

The present temperature stagnation does not exclude the possibility that global temperatures will begin to increase again later. On the other hand, it also remains a possibility that Earth just now is passing a temperature peak, and that global temperatures will begin to decrease during the coming years. Time will show which of these possibilities is correct.

## Global sea surface temperature, updated to October 2017

NOAA/NWS/NCEP/EMC Marine Modeling and Analysis Branch Oper H.R.  
RTG\_SST\_HR Anomaly (0.083 deg X 0.083 deg) for 24 Oct 2017



Sea surface temperature anomaly on 24 October 2017. Map source: National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NOAA).


Because of the large surface areas near Equator, the temperature of the surface water in these regions is especially important for the global atmospheric temperature (p. 4-6).

Relatively warm water is still dominating much of the oceans near the Equator, and is influencing global air temperatures now and in the months to come.


The significance of any short-term cooling or warming reflected in air temperatures should not be overstated. Whenever Earth experiences cold La Niña or warm El Niño episodes (Pacific Ocean)

major heat exchanges takes place between the Pacific Ocean and the atmosphere above, eventually showing up in estimates of the global air temperature.


However, this does not reflect similar changes in the total heat content of the atmosphere-ocean system. In fact, global net changes can be small and such heat exchanges may mainly reflect redistribution of energy between ocean and atmosphere. What matters is the overall temperature development when seen over several years.



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature over oceans (thin line) since 1979 according to [University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats.




Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to University of East Anglia's [Climatic Research Unit \(CRU\)](#), UK. Base period: 1961-1990. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats.



Global monthly average sea surface temperature since 1979 according to the [National Climatic Data Center \(NCDC\)](#), USA. Base period: 1901-2000. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average. Insert: Argo global ocean temperature anomaly from floats.


**June 18, 2015:** NCDC has introduced a number of rather large administrative changes to their sea surface temperature record. The overall result is to produce a record giving the impression of a continuous temperature increase, also in the 21st century. As the oceans cover about 71% of the entire surface of planet Earth, the effect of this administrative change is clearly seen in the NCDC record for global surface air temperature (p. 6).

## Ocean temperature in uppermost 100 m, updated to September 2017




World Oceans vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicates 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center \(NODC\)](#). Base period 1955-2010.


16



Pacific Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center \(NODC\)](#). Base period 1955-2010.




Atlantic Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center \(NODC\)](#). Base period 1955-2010.




Indian Ocean vertical average temperature 0-100 m depth since 1955. The thin line indicate 3-month values, and the thick line represents the simple running 39-month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [NOAA National Oceanographic Data Center \(NODC\)](#). Base period 1955-2010.

## North Atlantic heat content uppermost 700 m, updated to June 2017




18




Global monthly heat content anomaly (GJ/m<sup>2</sup>) in the uppermost 700 m of the North Atlantic (60-0W, 30-65N; see map above) ocean since January 1955. The thin line indicates monthly values, and the thick line represents the simple running 37 month (c. 3 year) average. Data source: [National Oceanographic Data Center](https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/) (NODC).

**North Atlantic temperatures 0-800 m depth along 59°N, 30-0W, updated to August 2017**




Time series depth-temperature diagram along 59 N across the North Atlantic Current from 30°W to 0°W, from surface to 800 m depth. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). See also the diagram below.

19




Average temperature along 59 N, 30-0W, 0-800m depth, corresponding to the main part of the North Atlantic Current, using [Argo-data](#). Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. [Progress in Oceanography](#), 82, 81-100.

## Global ocean temperature 0-1900 m depth summary, updated to August 2017




Summary of average temperature in uppermost 1900 m in different parts of the global oceans, using [Argo](#)-data. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. [Progress in Oceanography](#), 82, 81-100.

Global ocean net temperature change since 2004 at different depths, updated to August 2017




Net temperature change since 2004 from surface to 1900 m depth in different parts of the global oceans, using [Argo](#)-data. Source: [Global Marine Argo Atlas](#). Additional information can be found in: Roemmich, D. and J. Gilson, 2009. The 2004-2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. [Progress in Oceanography](#), 82, 81-100. Please note that due to the spherical form of Earth, northern and southern latitudes represent only small ocean volumes, compared to latitudes near the Equator.

## Troposphere and stratosphere temperatures from satellites, updated to October 2017




Global monthly average temperature in different according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. The thin lines represent the monthly average, and the thick line the simple running 37 month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3 year average.

## Zonal lower troposphere temperatures from satellites, updated to October 2017



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the tropics and the northern and southern extratropics, according to University of Alabama at Huntsville, USA. Thin lines show the monthly temperature. Thick lines represent the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

## Arctic and Antarctic lower troposphere temperature, updated to October 2017



Global monthly average lower troposphere temperature since 1979 for the North Pole and South Pole regions, based on satellite observations ([University of Alabama](#) at Huntsville, USA). Thin lines show the monthly temperature. The thick line is the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. Reference period 1981-2010.

## Arctic and Antarctic surface air temperature, updated to September 2017




Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.

25




Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90°S) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 2000, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.




Diagram showing area weighted Antarctic (70-90°S) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 1957, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average.





Diagram showing area-weighted Arctic (70-90°N) monthly surface air temperature anomalies ([HadCRUT4](#)) since January 1920, in relation to the WMO [normal period](#) 1961-1990. The thin line shows the monthly temperature anomaly, while the thicker line shows the running 37-month (c. 3 year) average. Because of the relatively small number of Arctic stations before 1930, month-to-month variations in the early part of the temperature record are larger than later. The period from about 1930 saw the establishment of many new Arctic meteorological stations, first in Russia and Siberia, and following the 2nd World War, also in North America. The period since 2000 is warm, about as warm as the period 1930-1940.

As the HadCRUT4 data series has improved high latitude coverage data coverage (compared to the HadCRUT3 series) the individual 5°x5° grid cells has been weighted according to their surface area. This contrasts with [Gillett et al. 2008](#) which calculated a simple average, with no consideration to the surface area represented by the individual 5°x5° grid cells.

Literature:


Gillett, N.P., Stone, D.A., Stott, P.A., Nozawa, T., Karpechko, A.Y.U., Hegerl, G.C., Wehner, M.F. and Jones, P.D. 2008. Attribution of polar warming to human influence. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 750-754.

Arctic and Antarctic sea ice, updated to October 2017




28

Sea ice extent 26 October 2017. The median limit of sea ice (orange line) is defined as 15% sea ice cover, according to the average of satellite observations 1981-2010 (both years inclusive). Sea ice may therefore well be encountered outside and open water areas inside the limit shown in the diagrams above. Map source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).



Diagrams showing Arctic sea ice extent and concentration 27 October 2016 (left) and 2017 (right), according to the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA).



Graphs showing monthly Antarctic, Arctic and global sea ice extent since November 1978, according to the [National Snow and Ice data Center \(NSIDC\)](#).

29






Diagram showing daily Arctic sea ice extent since June 2002, to 27 October 2017, by courtesy of [Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency \(JAXA\)](#).

## Sea Ice Thickness, 27-Oct-2017



30

Diagrams showing Arctic sea ice extent and thickness 27 October 2017 and the seasonal cycles of the calculated total arctic sea ice volume, according to [The Danish Meteorological Institute \(DMI\)](#). The mean sea ice volume and standard deviation for the period 2004-2013 are shown by grey shading.



12 month running average sea ice extension, global and in both hemispheres since 1979, the satellite-era. The October 1979 value represents the monthly 12-month average of November 1978 - October 1979, the November 1979 value represents the average of December 1978 - November 1979, etc. The stippled lines represent a 61-month (ca. 5 years) average. Data source: National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC).

## Sea level in general

Global (or eustatic) sea-level change is measured relative to an idealised reference level, the geoid, which is a mathematical model of planet Earth's surface (Carter et al. 2014). Global sea-level is a function of the volume of the ocean basins and the volume of water they contain. Changes in global sea-level are caused by – but not limited to - four main mechanisms:

1. Changes in local and regional air pressure and wind, and tidal changes introduced by the Moon.
2. Changes in ocean basin volume by tectonic (geological) forces.
3. Changes in ocean water density caused by variations in currents, water temperature and salinity.
4. Changes in the volume of water caused by changes in the mass balance of terrestrial glaciers.

In addition to these there are other mechanisms influencing sea-level; such as storage of ground water, storage in lakes and rivers, evaporation, etc.

Mechanism 1 is controlling sea-level at many sites on a time scale from months to several years. As an example, many coastal stations show a pronounced annual variation reflecting seasonal changes in air pressures and wind speed. Longer-term climatic changes playing out over decades or centuries will also affect measurements of sea-level changes. Hansen et al. (2011, 2015) provide excellent analyses of sea-level changes caused by recurrent changes of the orbit of the Moon and other phenomena.

Mechanism 2 – with the important exception of earthquakes and tsunamis - typically operates over long (geological) time scales, and is not significant on human time scales. It may relate to variations in the sea-floor spreading rate, causing volume changes in mid-ocean mountain ridges, and to the slowly changing configuration of land and oceans. Another effect may be the slow rise of basins due to isostatic offloading by deglaciation after an ice age. The floor of the Baltic Sea and the Hudson Bay are presently rising, causing a slow net

transfer of water from these basins into the adjoining oceans. Slow changes of very big glaciers (ice sheets) and movements in the mantle will affect the gravity field and thereby the vertical position of the ocean surface. Any increase of the total water mass as well as sediment deposition into oceans increase the load on their bottom, generating sinking by viscoelastic flow in the mantle below. The mantle flow is directed towards the surrounding land areas, which will rise, thereby partly compensating for the initial sea level increase induced by the increased water mass in the ocean.

Mechanism 3 (temperature-driven expansion) only affects the uppermost part of the oceans on human time scales. Usually, temperature-driven changes in density are more important than salinity-driven changes. Seawater is characterised by a relatively small coefficient of expansion, but the effect should however not be overlooked, especially when interpreting satellite altimetry data. Temperature-driven expansion of a column of seawater will not affect the total mass of water within the column considered, and will therefore not affect the potential at the top of the water column. Temperature-driven ocean water expansion will therefore not in itself lead to lateral displacement of water, but only lift the ocean surface locally. Near the coast, where people are living, the depth of water approaches zero, so no temperature-driven expansion will take place here (Mörner 2015). Mechanism 3 is for that reason not important for coastal regions.


Mechanism 4 (changes in glacier mass balance) is an important driver for global sea-level changes along coasts, for human time scales. Volume changes of floating glaciers – ice shelves – has no influence on the global sea-level, just like volume changes of floating sea ice has no influence. Only the mass-balance of grounded or land-based glaciers is important for the global sea-level along coasts.

Summing up: Mechanism 1 and 4 are the most important for understanding sea-level changes along coasts.

### References:


- Carter R.M., de Lange W., Hansen, J.M., Humlum O., Idso C., Kear, D., Legates, D., Mörner, N.A., Ollier C., Singer F. & Soon W. 2014. Commentary and Analysis on the Whitehead& Associates 2014 NSW Sea-Level Report. Policy Brief, NIPCC, 24. September 2014, 44 pp. <http://climatechangereconsidered.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/NIPCC-Report-on-NSW-Coastal-SL-9z-corrected.pdf>
- Hansen, J.-M., Aagaard, T. and Binderup, M. 2011. Absolute sea levels and isostatic changes of the eastern North Sea to central Baltic region during the last 900 years. *Boreas*, 10.1111/j.1502-3885.2011.00229.x. ISSN 0300-9483.
- Hansen, J.-M., Aagaard, T. and Huijpers, A. 2015. Sea-Level Forcing by Synchronization of 56- and 74-Year Oscillations with the Moon's Nodal Tide on the Northwest European Shelf (Eastern North Sea to Central Baltic Sea). *Journ. Coastal Research*, 16 pp.
- Mörner, Nils-Axel 2015. Sea Level Changes as recorded in nature itself. *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, Vol.5, 1, 124-129.

## Global sea level from satellite altimetry, updated to July 2016



Global sea level since December 1992 according to the Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research at University of Colorado at Boulder. The blue dots are the individual observations, and the purple line represents the running 121-month (ca. 10 year) average. The two lower panels show the annual sea level change, calculated for 1 and 10 year time windows, respectively. These values are plotted at the end of the interval considered. Data from the TOPEX/Poseidon mission have been used before 2002, and data from the Jason-1 mission (satellite launched December 2001) after 2002.

## Global sea level from tide-gauges, updated to December 2016




34

*Holgate-9 monthly tide gauge data from PSMSL Data Explorer. Holgate (2007) suggested the nine stations listed in the diagram to capture the variability found in a larger number of stations over the last half century studied previously. For that reason average values of the Holgate-9 group of tide gauge stations are interesting to follow. The blue dots are the individual average monthly observations, and the purple line represents the running 121-month (ca. 10 yr) average. The two lower panels show the annual sea level change, calculated for 1 and 10 yr time windows, respectively. These values are plotted at the end of the interval considered.*

### Reference:


Holgate, S.J. 2007. On the decadal rates of sea level change during the twentieth century. *Geophys. Res. Letters*, 34, L01602, doi:10.1029/2006GL028492

**Northern Hemisphere weekly and seasonal snow cover, updated to October 2017**




Northern hemisphere snow cover (white) and sea ice (yellow) 27 October 2016 (left) and 2017 (right). Map source: [National Ice Center](#) (NIC).


35



Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 2000 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2016 average.




Northern hemisphere weekly snow cover since January 1972 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory. The thin blue line is the weekly data, and the thick blue line is the running 53-week average (approximately 1 year). The horizontal red line is the 1972-2016 average.




Northern hemisphere seasonal snow cover since January 1972 according to Rutgers University Global Snow Laboratory.

## Atmospheric specific humidity, updated to October 2017




*Specific atmospheric humidity (g/kg) at three different altitudes in the lower part of the atmosphere (the Troposphere) since January 1948 (Kalnay et al. 1996). The thin blue lines shows monthly values, while the thick blue lines show the running 37-month average (about 3 years). Data source: [Earth System Research Laboratory \(NOAA\)](#).*

## Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, updated to October 2017



Monthly amount of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (upper diagram) and annual growth rate (lower diagram); average last 12 months minus average preceding 12 months, thin line) of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> since 1959, according to data provided by the [Mauna Loa Observatory](#), Hawaii, USA. The thick, stippled line is the simple running 37-observation average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average.

## The phase relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global temperature, updated to September 2017




12-month change of global atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (*Mauna Loa*; green), global sea surface temperature (*HadSST3*; blue) and global surface air temperature (*HadCRUT4*; red dotted). All graphs are showing monthly values of DIFF12, the difference between the average of the last 12 month and the average for the previous 12 months for each data series.


### References:



Humlum, O., Stordahl, K. and Solheim, J-E. 2012. The phase relation between atmospheric carbon dioxide and global temperature. *Global and Planetary Change*, August 30, 2012.  
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818112001658?v=s5>


# Global air temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, updated to October 2017



40







Diagrams showing UAH, RSS, HadCRUT4, GISS, and NCDC monthly global air temperature estimates (blue) and the monthly atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> content (red) according to the [Mauna Loa Observatory](#), Hawaii. The Mauna Loa data series begins in March 1958, and 1958 was therefore chosen as starting year for the diagrams. Reconstructions of past atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (before 1958) are not incorporated in this diagram, as such past CO<sub>2</sub> values are derived by other means (ice cores, stomata, or older measurements using different methodology), and therefore are not directly comparable with direct atmospheric measurements. The dotted grey line indicates the approximate linear temperature trend, and the boxes in the lower part of the diagram indicate the relation between atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global surface air temperature, negative or positive. Please note that the HadCRUT4 diagram (p.41) is not yet updated beyond September 2017.

Most climate models are programmed to give the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub> significant influence on global temperature. It is therefore relevant to compare different temperature records with measurements of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, as shown in the diagrams above.

Any comparison, however, should not be made on a monthly or annual basis, but for a longer time period, as other effects (oceanographic, etc.) may well override the potential influence of CO<sub>2</sub> on short time scales such as just a few years. It is of course equally inappropriate to present new meteorological record values, whether daily, monthly or annual, as support for the hypothesis ascribing high importance of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> for global temperatures. Any such meteorological

record value may well be the result of other phenomena.

What exactly defines the critical length of a relevant time period to consider for evaluating the alleged importance of CO<sub>2</sub> remains elusive and represents a theme for discussion. However, the length of the critical period must be inversely proportional to the temperature sensitivity of CO<sub>2</sub>, including feedback effects. If the net temperature effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is strong, the critical period will be short, and vice versa.

However, past climate research history provides some clues as to what has traditionally been considered the relevant length of period over which to compare temperature and atmospheric


CO<sub>2</sub>. After about 10 years of concurrent global temperature- and CO<sub>2</sub>-increase, IPCC was established in 1988. For obtaining public and political support for the CO<sub>2</sub>-hypothesis the 10-year warming period leading up to 1988 likely was important. Had the global temperature instead been decreasing, political support for the hypothesis would have been difficult to obtain.

Based on the previous 10 years of concurrent temperature- and CO<sub>2</sub>-increase, many climate scientists in 1988 presumably felt that their understanding of climate dynamics was sufficient

to conclude about the importance of CO<sub>2</sub> for global temperature changes. From this it may safely be concluded that 10 years was considered a period long enough to demonstrate the effect of increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on global temperatures.

Adopting this approach as to critical time length (at least 10 years), the varying relation (positive or negative) between global temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> has been indicated in the lower panels of the diagrams above.

## Latest 20-year QC1 global monthly air temperature changes, updated to October 2017



44

Last 20 years' global monthly average air temperature according to Quality Class 1 (UAH and RSS; see p.10) global monthly temperature estimates. The thin blue line represents the monthly values. The thick black line is the linear fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin black lines. The thick green line represents a 5-degree polynomial fit, with 95% confidence intervals indicated by the two thin green lines. A few key statistics are given in the lower part of the diagram (please note that the linear trend is the monthly trend).


In the ongoing climate debate the question if the global surface air temperature still increases, or if the temperature has levelled out during the last 15-18 years, is often put forward.

The diagram above may be useful in this context, and demonstrates the differences between two often used statistical approaches to determine recent temperature trends. Please also note that such fits only attempt to describe the past, and usually have limited predictive power. In addition, before using any linear trend (or other) analysis of time series a proper statistical model should be chosen, based on statistical justification.

For temperature time series, there is no *a priori* physical reason why the long-term trend should be linear in time. In fact, climatic time series often have trends for which a straight line is not a good approximation, as can clearly be seen from several of the diagrams in the present report.

For an excellent description of problems often encountered by analyses of temperature time series analyses please see [Keenan, D.J. 2014: Statistical Analyses of Surface Temperatures in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report.](#)

**Sunspot activity and QC1 average satellite global air temperature, updated to October 2017**



45

Variation of global monthly air temperature according to Quality Class 1 (UAH and RSS; see p.4) and observed sunspot number as provided by the Solar Influences Data Analysis Center (SIDC), since 1979. The thin lines represent the monthly values, while the thick line is the simple running 37-month average, nearly corresponding to a running 3-year average. The asymmetrical temperature 'bump' around 1998 is influenced by the oceanographic El Niño phenomenon in 1998, as is the case also for 2015-16.

## 2017: Fiji Islands and sea level changes



46

Map showing the Fiji Islands, with scale in the upper right corner.

Fiji is located about 2000 km northeast of New Zealand's North Island in the South Pacific Ocean near 18°S and the 180° meridian. The climate in Fiji is tropical marine and warm year-round with minimal extremes.

The Republic of Fiji is an archipelago of more than 330 islands, of which 110 are permanently inhabited, amounting to a total land area of about 18300 square kilometres. Fiji is situated near active plate boundaries in the Pacific (picture next page), and most Fiji's

islands were formed through volcanic activity starting around 150 million years ago. Several of the volcanic mountains reach altitudes between 1000 and 1300 m above sea level. Many islands are surrounded by fringing reefs. The geological setting indicates that vertical tectonic movements in the Fiji region will take place from time to time, affecting the local sea level, up or down.

Just now, sea level changes at Fiji has great public interest, as the Pre-COP23 meeting took

place in Nadi, Fiji, 16 - 18 October 2017. In addition, the Republic of Fiji will be the chair-nation at the next international climate

conference (COP23) in Bonn (Germany) in November 2017.




*The location of Fiji in relation to major active plate boundaries in the Pacific Ocean, as indicated by the deep trenches visible in the ocean floor. Picture source: Google Earth.*

As mentioned above, many of the Fiji Islands are surrounded by fringing reefs. Atolls, circular reefs, without a central island, are also found in the region.

The geomorphology of the Fiji coasts and other modern coral coasts is complicated by the residual effects of the Holocene (roughly last 11000 years) initially rapid sea level rise and the subsequent relatively stable sea level of the past 5000 years, as well as by the inheritance of the effects of fluctuating climate and sea levels over the Pleistocene (Davidson-Arnott 2010). A generalised sea level curve for the Pacific over the last 140 000 years is shown to the right.

The large global sea level changes are mainly the result of global climate changes between glacial- and interglacial periods. However impressive these changes may appear; coral reefs have managed to survive and flourish


through them all. From this geological perspective coral reefs are robust and highly adaptive features of tropical coasts (Davidson-Arnott 2010), conflicting with the modern perspective, where coral reefs often are regarded as highly fragile environments.



*Generalized sea level curve for the Pacific over the past 140000 years encompassing the last interglacial maximum, the last glacial period and the post- glacial (Holocene) transgression (figure from Davidson-Arnott 2010, based on Chappell et al., 1996). Today is at zero on the lower axis.*

Understanding the development of fringing reefs and atolls with subsidence of volcanic islands and continued upbuilding by reef communities goes back to Charles Darwin and the voyage of the Beagle in 1836 (Darwin 1839). Movement of the crustal plate carries a new-formed volcanic island away from its original magma source and point of origin. Gradual subsidence of the crustal plate, as well



as subaerial erosional processes, result in the volcanic island slowly being lowered and sinking below sea level. By this, the transformation of fringing reefs into an atoll with central lagoon (see figure below) will be the natural result of this geological development for volcanic islands in the Tropics (Scott and Rotondo 1983), including the Fiji Islands.



*Schematic depiction of the natural development of an atoll through gradual subsidence of a volcanic island. Once the volcanic island carried on the crustal plate moves away from the original point of formation, erosion reduces the altitude of the island, and ongoing subsidence of the volcanic island leads to the transformation of fringing reefs into a circular atoll. The atoll can then survive as long as the rate of coral growth keeps up with subsidence of the volcanic mountain below. If the atoll by the crustal plate is moved into waters with cooler temperatures then coral growth will fall below the subsidence rate, leading to drowning (figure from Davidson-Arnott 2010, modified from Scott and Rotondo 1983).*

Deep concern has recently been expressed by local Fiji authorities and others about rising sea level and the future of the Fiji Islands. Modern investigations, however, show this to be based on misunderstandings, and real sea level changes in this region is smaller than is often communicated.

For example, the investigations by Mörner (2017) and Mörner and Matlack-Klein (2017a, 2017b) demonstrate a naturally variable, but overall stable sea level for the last 50-70 years, providing no reason for concern. In addition, during the last 200 years, there has been no unusual sea level changes in that region.



**Sea level change (cm)**

*Global sea level change 1993-2014, according to NOAA (2017)*

Satellite observations are frequently referred to in relation to modern sea level changes. Above is shown a map published by NOAA (2017), showing the global net sea level changes from 1993 to 2014. Such satellite-based maps show several highly interesting phenomena.

First, it immediately becomes apparent that discussing a global average number is generally not very meaningful in a regional context; the regional and local variations are huge, and clearly much more important than the global average value. Presumably, many local and regional factors, such as, e.g., changes in water temperature, air pressure, wind strength and -direction, evaporation and precipitation are all important to understand the pattern shown in such satellite-derived maps.

Second, it is equally clear, that at least parts of the satellite maps are showing erroneously values, and often biased towards displaying


too high values. For instance, this can be demonstrated by inspecting oceans like the Baltic (Northern Europe) and Hudson Bay (North America), where isostatic land rise due to the disappearance of the Weichselian and Wisconsin ice sheets 11000-8000 years ago still is much greater than any global sea level rise. A correct satellite map should for such areas show blue colours (indicating a drop of sea level in relation to land).

As one example, the official sea level gauge for Stockholm (Sweden) shows an average sea level drop of about 3.8 mm/year during the period 1889-2016. The satellite map nevertheless incorrectly suggests ongoing sea level rise in this and other regions characterised by isostatic land rise. Apparently, there are still several issues associated with correct interpretation of satellite-derived observations relating to sea level changes.

For the Fiji region, the satellite map on page 49 suggests a sea level increase of about 9-11 cm between 1993 and 2014. This value, however, is in reasonable agreement with classic gauge measurements, as will be discussed further below.

Official direct sea level measurements made by sea level gauges situated along coasts in the Fiji Islands (and elsewhere) are freely available on Internet (PSMSL Data Explorer 2017), and can be inspected by all.

As is the case for other sea level gauges around the world, some of the Fiji stations may be affected by their near surroundings sinking due to deforming sediments or construction of buildings or other heavy structures (Mörner and Matlack-Klein 2017a). Usually, instrument sinking results in an apparent, but not real, sea level rise component. With such reservations in mind, one of the more prominent Fiji sea level records (since November 1992) can be inspected below.



*Lautoka (Fiji) monthly tide gauge data from PSMSL Data Explorer. The blue dots are the individual monthly observations, and the purple line represents the running 121-month (ca. 10 year) average. The two lower panels show the annual sea level change, calculated for 1 and 10-year time windows, respectively. These values are plotted at the end of the interval considered. Last month shown: December 2016.*

The Lautoka Fiji sea level record is still short, but nevertheless show several interesting and prominent natural variations. The 12-month average change is seen to be highly variable between positive as well as negative values. The upper panel with individual monthly observations (blue dots) suggest a net sea level increase of about 100 mm (10 cm) between 1993 and 2014, in good agreement with the satellite map shown above (p.49).

The 10-year average trend (lower panel) suggests a rise from 2007 to 2013, followed by a rather marked fall until now. In fact, the monthly observations (blue dots, upper panel) show that this most recent development has resulted in a sea level drop at the Fiji Islands of about 10 cm from 2013 to 2016, meaning that the previous (1993-2013) rise has now been compensated by a subsequent drop in Fiji sea level.

## REFERENCES

Chappell, J., Omura, A., Esat, T., McCulloch, M., Pandolfi, J., Ota, Y. and Pillans, B. 1996. Reconciliation of late Quaternary sea levels derived from coastal terraces at Huon Peninsula with deep sea oxygen isotope records. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 141, 227-236.

Darwin, C.R. 1839. *Narrative of the surveying voyages of His Majesty's Ships Adventure and Beagle between the years 1826 and 1836, describing their examination of the southern shores of South America, and the Beagle's circumnavigation of the globe*. Volume III. Journal and remarks, 1832-1836, 629 pp, London, Colburn.

Davidson-Arnott, R. 2010. *An Introduction to Coastal Processes and Geomorphology*. Cambridge University Press. 442 pp.

Scott, G.A.J. and Rotondo, G.M. 1983. A Model for the Development of Types of Atolls and Volcanic Islands on the Pacific Lithospheric Plate. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, No. 260, 33 pp.

Mörner N-A (2017) Our Oceans – Our Future: New evidence-based records from the Fiji Islands for the last 500 years indicating rotational eustasy and absence of a present rise in sea level. *International Journal of Earth & Environmental Sciences*, 2: 137. <https://doi.org/10.15344/2456-351X/2017/137>

Mörner N-A, Matlack-Klein P (2017a) The Fiji tide-gauge stations. *International Journal of Geoscience*, 8: 536-544. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ijg.2017.84028>

Mörner N-A, Matlack-Klein P (2017b) Coastal erosion in the Yasawa Islands, Fiji. *Natural Science*, 9 (5): 136-142. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ns.2017.95014>

NOAA 2017. Sea level change 1993-2014. <https://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/sod/lisa/SeaLevelRise/>

PSMSL Data Explorer 2017. <http://www.psmsl.org/data/obtaining/map.html>

Wikipedia 2017. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fiji>

\*\*\*\*\*

All diagrams in this report, along with any supplementary information, including links to data sources and previous issues of this newsletter, are freely available for download on [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com)

Yours sincerely,

Ole Humlum ([Ole.Humlum@gmail.com](mailto:Ole.Humlum@gmail.com))

Arctic Historical Evaluation and Research Organisation (ArcticHERO)

[www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com) and [www.ArcticHERO.org](http://www.ArcticHERO.org)

November 20, 2017.